



To: Martha's Vineyard Commission

From: Paul Foley, DRI Coordinator, Martha's Vineyard Commission

Date: Tuesday February 9, 2010

Re: 2009 Developments of Regional Impact - Edgartown

---

In 2009 the Martha's Vineyard Commission reviewed **24** projects referred as DRI's, Concurrence Reviews, Extensions, or aspects of an already approved plan to be approved by LUPC and reviewed one more project that was not decided upon until early January 2010. Of the **24** projects the Commission reviewed **7** were full DRI's approved with conditions (**2** of those being modifications to previously approved DRI's and **1** of which was decided in early January 2010 ); **0** were denied; **1** was a Discretionary Referral that was remanded back to the Town; **8** were remanded back to their town as Minor Modifications to a previously approved DRI; **1** was sent back to their town as a Non-Concurrence; **4** were previously approved DRI's returning to LUPC for some aspect of their plan to be approved before going forward; **2** were granted extensions; and **1** was sent back to the Town because it did not trigger the DRI Checklist. In addition to these **22** projects there are **3** that are still under review. The MVC also considered **3** projects that were referred before 2009 but are on hold at the Applicant's request.

### **MVC - DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT – Aquinnah 2009**

Of the **24** projects the Commission reviewed **0** were in Aquinnah.

### **MVC - DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT – Chilmark 2009**

Of the **24** projects the Commission reviewed **0** were in Chilmark.

### **MVC - DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT – Edgartown 2009**

Of the **24** projects the Commission reviewed **6** were in Edgartown. Of the **6** projects in Edgartown **1** was a full DRI approved with conditions in early January 2010; **0** were denied; **3** were remanded back to the town as a Minor Modification to a previously approved DRI; **1** was a previously approved DRI returning to LUPC for some aspect of their plan to be approved before going forward; and **1** was a Discretionary Referral that was remanded back to the Town. The DRI approved with conditions in early January 2010 was Morning Glory Farm (DRI 620). The three remands were Folino (DRI 514-M3); V.T.A. Storage (524-M2); the Harborview Hotel (DRI 614-M), the plan reviewed by LUPC was a water monitoring plan for the Airport Mobil (DRI 441-M); and the Discretionary Referral sent back to the Town was a division of land at Morning Glory Farm (D.R. 1 – 2009). The following projects are listed chronologically:

### **MVC - DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT – Oak Bluffs 2009**

Of the **24** projects the Commission reviewed **7** were in Oak Bluffs. Of the **7** projects in Oak Bluffs **4** were full DRI's approved with conditions; **0** were denied; **1** were remanded back to the town as a Minor Modification to a previously approved DRI; **2** were previously approved DRI's returning to LUPC for approval of landscape plans. The DRI's approved with conditions were Igreja Evangelica de Deus Semear DRI Annual Report 2009

(DRI 619); Bradley Square (DRI 612-M); Muckerheide Housing (DRI 615); and Oak Bluffs harbor Gas (DRI 621). The remand was Igreja Evangelica de Deus Semear (DRI 619) for a modification to move a fence. The landscape plans reviewed by LUPC were for the YMCA of Martha's Vineyard (DRI 600) and the Martha's Vineyard Hospital (DRI 324-M).

### **MVC - DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT – Tisbury 2009**

Of the **24** projects the Commission reviewed **6** were in Tisbury. Of the **6** projects in Tisbury **1** was a full DRI approved with conditions; **0** were denied; **3** were remanded back to the town as a Minor Modification to a previously approved DRI; **1** was a non-concurrence; and **1** was a project that was sent to the MVC but determined not to trigger the DRI Checklist. The full DRI approved with conditions was 1986 Evelyn Way (DRI 576-M); the three remands were Island Wood Works (DRI 311-M2); 18 State Road/Thai Restaurant (DRI 593-M); Saltwater Restaurant (DRI 485-M4); the non-concurrence was Café Moxie (C.R.1-2009); and the project that was sent back to the town because it did not trigger the DRI Checklist was AT&T Packer (C.R.4- 2009).

### **MVC - DEVELOPMENTS OF REGIONAL IMPACT – West Tisbury 2009**

Of the **24** projects the Commission reviewed **5** were in West Tisbury. Of the **5** projects in West Tisbury **1** was a full DRI approved with conditions; **0** were denied; **1** was a non-concurrence; and **2** were extensions; and **1** was a previously approved DRI returning to LUPC for approval of the landscape plan; The DRI approved with conditions was the Flat Point Farm Form B Estate Plan (DRI 34-M2). The non-concurrence was the AT&T Airport Cell Tower (C.R.3-2009). The plan reviewed by LUPC was a landscape plan for the Martha's Vineyard Agricultural Society (DRI 374-M); and the extensions were for the Hart Plumbing Building (DRI 549-M) for two years and for Bridge Housing (DRI 560-M) for one year.